Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/643,801	BHANOT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	J. E. ANGELL	1635
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>J. E. ANGELL</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Deborah Cadena</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 June 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:  ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/J. E. ANGELL/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635 (A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/643,801

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: APplicant was contacted to discuss the claims and the issues that needed to be addressed before the claims could be allowed. After consulting Applicants, Ms. Cadena returned a telephone call to the Examiner and left a voicemail message suggesting changes to the claims that would be acceptable and that were approved for entry by Examiner's amendment. The proposed amendments were considered and deemed to be acceptable and were entered by Examiner's amendment (see the Examiner's amendment for the specific changes approved by Applicants).